

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/650,481	08/29/2000	Curtis Wong	MS155614.1 8554		
27195	7590 05/10/2004		EXAMI	NER	
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP			SHANG, ANNAN Q		
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2614	
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
•		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/650,481	WONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication	Annan Q Shang	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ja.	nuary 2004.				
· _ · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the description of the description of the correction and the correction of the order of the correction of th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94©) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 01/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. With respect to independent claims 8, 16, 20 and 22, Applicant, argues that, Hirata (6,374,406) fails to explicitly teach a token. In responses, Webster Dictionary and Microsoft Computer Dictionary, (see copies attached) clearly defines a token as an event, unique structured data object or message, etc., that circulates among nodes of a network. Hirata, teaches sending an event, such as, email message with passing parameters within the email for recording a video program (col. 5, lines 55-64), which meets the definition of a token as defined in Webster Dictionary and Microsoft Computer Dictionary, and as claimed by Applicant, hence, the rejections of claims 1-26 is maintained and repeated below and made FINAL.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2614

3. Claims 1-4,7-9,13,16,18-19,20-23,25-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Hirata** (6,374,40%), cited by examiner.

As to claim 1, **Hirata** discloses a system for controlling an electronic device via a control command signal contained in an electronic mail message. Hirata particularly discloses terminals that can be connected locally to a LAN or across the Internet (co1.5 1n.31-35). Hirata also particularly discloses passing parameters within the email for recording a video program (co1.5 1n.55-64) as claimed.

As to claim 2, the claimed operative association between the token and message component is met by the references disclosure of the email transmission to reserve a recording on the video deck (col. 5 ln. 14-17).

As to claim 3, the claimed "text email message" is met by the text within the email body in Figure 3.

As to claim 4, the claimed "elements identifying sufficient characteristics of the corresponding program" to record the program is met by the date, time and channel parameters within the email (col. 5 1n. 50-54).

As to claim 7, the claimed computers not having a direct connection is met by the indirect connection (across the Internet) of the terminals of Figure 3.

Claim 8 is met by that discussed above for claim 1.

As to claim 9, the claimed text component operatively associated to the message component is met by the control command text in the body of the email (fig. 3).

Claim 13 is met by that discussed above for claim 4.

Art Unit: 2614

As to claim 16, Hirata discloses an email message (fig.3) and an operatively associated token (in this case, a control command signal), including program criteria (col. 5 1n. 51-54 & 6064).

As to claim 18, the claimed email message addressed "to an address associated with a remote computer and sending the message" is met by the email of Figure 3 and the terminals 1-1 and 1-4 in Figure 1 (co1.5 ln.14-17).

As to claim 19, the claimed program criteria containing sufficient characteristics to record the program is met by the time, date, and channel criteria within the control command string (col.5 1n.55-64).

Claim 20 is met by that discussed above for claim 1.

Claim 21 is met by that discussed above for claim 19. Claim 22 is met by that discussed above for claim 1.

Claim 23 is met by that discussed above for claim 19. Claim 25 is met by that discussed above for claim 18.

Claim 26 is met by that discussed above for claim 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2614

5. Claims 5-6,10-12,14-15,17,24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirata.

As to claim 5, **Hirata** discloses everything, as described above, except the "universal program identifier". However the examiner gives official notice that it is notoriously well known in the art of video recording to use a universal program identifier for the purpose of identifying a program for recording.

Therefore it is submitted that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the universal program identifier to identify a program for recording for the purpose of minimizing the need to remember the time and channel of a particular program for recording.

As to claim 6, the claimed inclusion of "a plurality of program characteristics that identify different aspects of the corresponding program" is met by the program date, time and channel parameters passed in the email (col.5 1n.50-54).

As to claim 10, the reference discloses everything, as described above, except the inclusion of the token in an attachment to the email. However the examiner gives official notice that it is notoriously well known in the art of electronic mail to use an attachment for the purpose of transporting executable commands.

Therefore it is submitted that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an attachment to transport the control command string for the purpose of separating executables from the text portion of messages.

Art Unit: 2614

As to claim 11, the reference discloses discrete computer terminals and addressing the message to the client (fig.3) as claimed. As to the client receiving the email from a server, the client/server relationship is inherent within the email protocols used over the Internet.

As to claim 12, the reference particularly discloses the use of a text email message (fig.3).

Claim 14 is met by that discussed above for claim 5.

As to claim 15, the claimed "plurality of program characteristics that identify different aspects of the corresponding program" is met by the date, time and channel parameters within the email (col.5 1n.50-54).

Claim 17 is met by that discussed above for claim 10.

Claim 24 is met by that discussed above for claim 10.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2614

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 7

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q Shang** whose telephone number is **703-305-2156**. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-500pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John W Miller** can be reached on **703-305-4795**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the **Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).**

Annan Q. Shang.

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

mle

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600